

Lorentz-invariant Bell's inequality

Won Tae Kim* and Edwin J. Son†

*Department of Physics and Basic Science Research Institute,
Sogang University, C.P.O. Box 1142, Seoul 100-611, Korea*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We study Bell's inequality in relation to the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox in the relativistic regime. For this purpose, a relativistically covariant analysis is used in the calculation of the Bell's inequality, which results in the maximally violated Bell's inequality in any reference frame.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Pm, 03.67.-a, 03.75.-b

Keywords: EPR paradox, Bell's inequality

*Electronic address: wtkim@sogang.ac.kr

†Electronic address: eddy@sogang.ac.kr

Since Einstein, Podolsky, and Rosen (EPR) [1] have proposed an intriguing gedanken experiment, there have been great efforts to shed light on the nonlocality of quantum mechanics. Violation of the Bell's inequality [2], especially as seen from the Bohm spin version, may indicate its nonlocality characteristically even though it seems to be contradictory to the special theory of relativity based on the locality. Recently, this inequality and entanglement in nonrelativistic quantum mechanics have been promoted to the moving observer in the relativistic limit by a number of authors [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]; however, they have not always reached the same conclusion for Bell's inequality. Some of them [4, 5] have claimed that violation of Bell's inequality can be recovered by the unitary Wigner rotation under a Lorentz boost even though the amount of violation decreases depending on the boost velocity. On the other hand, others [6] asserted that Bell's inequality is satisfied in the ultrarelativistic limit by using the relativistic spin operator suggested by Czachor [3].

In order to study the transformation of maximally entangled states under the action of Lorentz transformations, the authors in Refs. [4, 5] considered only the changes of the states. In Ref. [3], however, the nonrelativistic singlet state average is relativistically generalized by defining spin via the relativistic center-of-mass operator. Using this relativistic spin observable, its expectation values for the Bell states under Lorentz boost have been evaluated in Refs. [6, 7], and it has been shown that special relativity imposes severe restrictions on the transfer of information between distant systems and the implications of the fact that quantum entropy is not a Lorentz-covariant concept by using positive-operator-valued measures in Ref. [8].

In this Brief Report, we would like to study Bell's inequality in the moving frame by using the relativistically invariant Bell observable. In principle, consistent results should come from the relativistically covariant analysis. By using a consistent transformation, in both the Bell states and the observable, we naturally obtain an observer-independent Bell's inequality, so that it is maximally violated as long as it is violated maximally in the rest frame. Finally, a discussion will be given of the frame-independent result compared with previous works.

For a single spinning relativistic massive particle, all unitary irreducible representations of the Poincaré group [9] rely on Wigner's idea that the quantum states can be formulated directly without the use of the wave equation [10]. Following Wigner's approach, the Lorentz transformation Λ induces a unitary transformation [14] on a particle state $|p, \sigma\rangle$ with a four-

momentum $p = (p^0, \vec{p})$ and a spin σ as [11]

$$U(\Lambda)|p, \sigma\rangle = \sum_{\sigma'} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma'\sigma}(W(\Lambda, p))|\Lambda p, \sigma'\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $L(p)$ is the Lorentz transformation, which makes a rest particle move with the momentum p , i.e., $p^\mu = L^\mu_\nu(p)k^\nu$, $k^\mu = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $\mathcal{D}(W)$ is the representation of Wigner's little group element given by $W(\Lambda, p) = L^{-1}(\Lambda p)\Lambda L(p)$. Note that the speed of light c and the Planck constant $\hbar$ are set to be 1 throughout this paper, but they will be written explicitly when necessary.

We will consider two reference frames in this work: one is the rest frame S and the other is the moving frame S' in which a particle whose four-momentum p in S is seen as boosted with the velocity $\vec{\beta}$. By setting the boost and particle moving directions in the rest frame to be $\hat{\beta} = \hat{x}$ and $\hat{p} = \hat{z}$, respectively, the Wigner representation is found as

$$\mathcal{D}(W(\Lambda, p)) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\Omega}{2} & -\sin \frac{\Omega}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\Omega}{2} & \cos \frac{\Omega}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where the Wigner angle Ω satisfies the relation $\tan \Omega = \sinh \alpha \sinh \delta / (\cosh \alpha + \cosh \delta)$, $\cosh \alpha = \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, and $\cosh \delta = p^0/m$. In the relativistic limit, $\beta \rightarrow 1$ or $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, the Wigner angle Ω becomes $\pi/2$ when the energy of the particle is very high, $p^0/m \rightarrow \infty$ ($\delta \rightarrow \infty$), while it approaches zero for low energy, $p^0/m \rightarrow 1$ ($\delta \rightarrow 0$).

We now consider two spin-1/2 particles moving in the opposite directions $\hat{p}$ and $-\hat{p}$ with the same energy and speed in S . Then, the entangled Bell states [12] in S are given by

$$|\Psi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle + |p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \right] = |p, \mathcal{P}p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle, \quad (3)$$

$$|\Psi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle - |p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \right] = |p, \mathcal{P}p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$|\Psi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle + |p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \right] = |p, \mathcal{P}p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$|\Psi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle - |p, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \otimes |\mathcal{P}p, \frac{1}{2}\rangle \right] = |p, \mathcal{P}p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a parity operator satisfying $\mathcal{P}p = (p^0, -\vec{p})$, and $|\Phi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle, |\Phi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle, |\Phi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle$, and $|\Phi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle$ are Bell bases in the rest frame. Note that $\pm$ in the Bell states represent spins up and down, respectively. Then, the Lorentz boosted Bell states are found to be

$$|\Psi_{+--+}^{(+)\prime}\rangle = U(\Lambda)|\Psi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle = |\Lambda p, \Lambda \mathcal{P}p\rangle \otimes [\cos \Omega |\Phi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle - \sin \Omega |\Phi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle], \quad (7)$$

$$|\Psi_{++--}'^{(-)}\rangle = U(\Lambda)|\Psi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle = |\Lambda p, \Lambda \mathcal{P} p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle, \quad (8)$$

$$|\Psi_{+--+}'^{(+)}\rangle = U(\Lambda)|\Psi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle = |\Lambda p, \Lambda \mathcal{P} p\rangle \otimes |\Phi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle, \quad (9)$$

$$|\Psi_{+--+}'^{(-)}\rangle = U(\Lambda)|\Psi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle = |\Lambda p, \Lambda \mathcal{P} p\rangle \otimes [\sin \Omega |\Phi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle + \cos \Omega |\Phi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle] \quad (10)$$

by using the Wigner representation (2). Note that $|\Phi_{++--}^{(+)}\rangle$ and $|\Phi_{+--+}^{(-)}\rangle$ are rotated, while $|\Phi_{++--}^{(-)}\rangle$ and $|\Phi_{+--+}^{(+)}\rangle$ are Lorentz invariant. So far, we have obtained the Lorentz transformed Bell states in terms of the Wigner rotation, whose representation has been evaluated by using the two-component spinor representation.

In the rest frame, the spin observable in the direction $\vec{a}$ is given by $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{S}$, where $\vec{a}$ is a unit vector, $\vec{S} = (\hbar/2)\vec{\sigma}$ is the spin operator, and the σ^i 's are the Pauli matrices. Using the Pauli-Lubanski pseudovector $W_\mu = -1/2\epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\rho}J^{\nu\kappa}P^\rho$ with the generators of the Poincaré group, $J^{\nu\kappa}$ and P^ρ [11], the invariant expression measured by the four-dimensional axis is assumed to be

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(a) = \frac{2a^\mu W_\mu}{m\hbar}, \quad (11)$$

where $a^\mu = (0, \vec{a})$ and $W^\mu = (0, m\vec{S})$ in the rest frame, and $a^\mu a_\mu = 1$. The spin vector and the axis should be transformed by the appropriate transformation law. For the observable $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(a, b) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}(a) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(b)$ acting on the Bell states in the rest frame, the degree of violation of the Clauser-Horne-Shimony-Holt inequality [13], a variant of Bell's inequality, is measured by

$$C(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; \Psi) = \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(a_1, b_1) \rangle + \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(a_1, b_2) \rangle + \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(a_2, b_1) \rangle - \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(a_2, b_2) \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where the axes a_1, a_2, b_1 , and b_2 are all four-vectors. Then, the transformed expectation value $\langle \Psi' | \hat{\mathcal{O}}' | \Psi' \rangle$ can be calculated by noting that the observable transforms as $\hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) = U(\Lambda)\hat{\mathcal{O}}(a, b)U^{-1}(\Lambda) = (2/m\hbar)^2 a^\mu b^\nu U(\Lambda)W_\mu \otimes W_\nu U^{-1}(\Lambda) = 4\vec{a} \cdot \vec{S}_R \otimes \vec{b} \cdot \vec{S}_R$, where $\vec{S}_R = \mathcal{D}(W)\vec{S}\mathcal{D}^{-1}(W)$. By the use of Eq. (2), the transformation of the spin is rewritten by the transformation of the axes along with the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{S}_R &= \vec{a} \cdot \mathcal{D}(W)\vec{\sigma}\mathcal{D}^{-1}(W) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_z \cos \Omega - a_x \sin \Omega & a_z \sin \Omega + a_x \cos \Omega - ia_y \\ a_z \sin \Omega + a_x \cos \Omega + ia_y & -a_z \cos \Omega + a_x \sin \Omega \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2\vec{a}_R \cdot \vec{S}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which yields $\hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{a}_R, \vec{b}_R)$. Then, under the Wigner rotation, the unit vectors $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ are transformed as $\vec{a}_R = (a_x \cos \Omega + a_z \sin \Omega, a_y, -a_x \sin \Omega + a_z \cos \Omega)$ and $\vec{b}_R = (b_x \cos \Omega -$

$b_z \sin \Omega, b_y, b_x \sin \Omega + b_z \cos \Omega$). After some tedious calculations, the expectation values of the spin observable are calculated as

$$\langle \Psi_{++--}^{(+')} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) | \Psi_{++--}^{(+')} \rangle = a_x b_x - a_y b_y + a_z b_z, \quad (14)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{++--}^{(-')} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) | \Psi_{++--}^{(-')} \rangle = -a_x b_x + a_y b_y + a_z b_z, \quad (15)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{+--+}^{(+')} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) | \Psi_{+--+}^{(+')} \rangle = a_x b_x + a_y b_y - a_z b_z, \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{+--+}^{(-')} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}'(a, b) | \Psi_{+--+}^{(-')} \rangle = -a_x b_x - a_y b_y - a_z b_z. \quad (17)$$

Note that the expectation values are all invariant under the Lorentz boost. Using the expectation values (14)–(17), it can be shown that the maximal violation of Bell's inequality is maintained at any boost speed β and any particle speed v_p :

$$C'(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; \Psi') = C(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; \Psi) = 2\sqrt{2}, \quad (18)$$

where we chose the axes as

$$\vec{a}_1 = (1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}, 0), \quad \vec{a}_2 = (-1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}, 0) \quad \text{for } |\Psi_{++--}^{(+')} \rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$\vec{a}_1 = (-1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0), \quad \vec{a}_2 = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0) \quad \text{for } |\Psi_{++--}^{(-')} \rangle, \quad (20)$$

$$\vec{a}_1 = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0), \quad \vec{a}_2 = (-1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0) \quad \text{for } |\Psi_{+--+}^{(+')} \rangle, \quad (21)$$

$$\vec{a}_1 = (-1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}, 0), \quad \vec{a}_2 = (1/\sqrt{2}, -1/\sqrt{2}, 0) \quad \text{for } |\Psi_{+--+}^{(-')} \rangle, \quad (22)$$

and $\vec{b}_1 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $\vec{b}_2 = (1, 0, 0)$ for all Bell states. Of course, these sets of spin measurement axes are equivalent to those giving maximal violation of Bell's inequality in the nonrelativistic limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$.

The operator (11) in the rest frame is in fact equivalent to the one defined in Ref. [5]. So, one might wonder what the difference is. As shown in Ref. [5], it is possible to find the direction for the maximal violation of Bell's inequality (anticorrelation) in the relative motion after the Wigner rotation. It is true, however, as we found, that the directions or axes should be transformed according to the Lorentz transformation rule from the beginning. To rotate the axes arbitrarily in one's frame means that one has to have individual apparatus for each EPR experiment. However, our physical system is unique so that the present physical axes should be transformed according to the coordinate transformation rule. For example, this situation is very similar to the electron in the normalized constant magnetic field. If one rotates the direction of the magnetic field in any moving frame arbitrarily, then he or

she will obtain a different set of experiments. So, the electron will behave depending on the new applied magnetic fields. This means that the Bell's inequality associated with the physical axes in the rest frame seems to be frame dependent, which does not obey relativistic covariance.

Finally, we now discuss some subtleties in the calculation of the Bell's inequality in different works in which Czachor's spin observable [3] has been used. Setting $\vec{a}_1$, $\vec{a}_2$, $\vec{b}_1$, and $\vec{b}_2$ as Eq. (22), the Bell observable $C(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2)$ for $|\Psi_{+-+}^{(-)'}\rangle$ is then calculated as $C'(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2; \Psi') = 2/\sqrt{2-\beta^2}(\sqrt{1-\beta^2} + \cos 2\Omega)$, which interestingly satisfies the Bell's inequality $|C(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2)| \rightarrow |4\text{sech}^2\delta - 2| \leq 2$ in the ultrarelativistic limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$ [6], while it is violated as $|C(\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2)| = 2\sqrt{2}$ in the nonrelativistic limit. Note that there is a critical value β_c satisfying Bell's inequality, which is somewhat awkward because it is unnatural in that the Bell's inequality depends on the boost speed. Fortunately, it has been shown that the maximal violation of Bell's inequality can be maintained by taking some new set of spin measurement axes in this calculation [7]. However, they are not related to the Lorentz transformation (or the Wigner rotation) between the two axes. The origin of this problem is essentially due to the noncovariant definition of the spin observable given in Ref. [3].

In conclusion, the Bell observable and the Bell states for Bell's inequality should be transformed following the principle of relativistic covariance, which results in a frame-independent Bell's inequality.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank C. Ee for helpful discussions. We are also grateful to M. Czachor for exciting discussions. This work was supported by Grant No. 2000-2-11100-002-5 from the Basic Research Program of the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation.

-
- [1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935)
 - [2] J. S. Bell, Physics (Long Island City, N.Y.) **1**, 195 (1964).
 - [3] M. Czachor, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 72 (1997).
 - [4] P. M. Alsing and G. J. Milburn, Quantum Inf. Comput. **2**, 487 (2002).

- [5] H. Terashima and M. Ueda, Quantum Inf. Comput. **3**, 224 (2003); Int. J. Quantum Inf. **1**, 93 (2003).
- [6] D. Ahn, H.-j. Lee, Y. H. Moon, and S. W. Hwang, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 012103 (2003).
- [7] D. Lee and C.-Y. Ee, New J. Phys. **6**, 67 (2004).
- [8] A. Peres, P. F. Scudo, and D. R. Terno, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 230402 (2002); A. Peres and D. R. Terno, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 93 (2004) and references therein.
- [9] Y. Ohnuki, *Unitary Representations of the Poincare Group and Relativistic Wave Equations* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).
- [10] E. P. Wigner, Ann. Math. **40**, 149 (1939) [Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **6**, 9 (1989)].
- [11] L. H. Ryder, *Quantum Field Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 1986).
- [12] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum information*, (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [13] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 880 (1969).
- [14] In general, a particle has no definite momentum. The validity of the transformation rules arises from the assumption that particle states have sharp momenta, namely are momentum eigenstates. If this condition is not met, the Wigner matrix generates entanglement between momentum and spin degrees of freedom, thus resulting in a change of entropy of the spin state of the system [8].